

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/993,970	Applicant(s) MCGUINNESS ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIEL G. MARIAM	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(2) Jose Gutman.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:00 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
102/103

Claims discussed:
1, 9, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:
Morimura, et al. (US Patent No. 5,768,404)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims in light of the newly surfaced prior art. Applicants' representative, namely (Jose Gutman) has agreed to amend the claims so as to avoid a rejection based on the reference to Morimura, et al (See the Examiner's Amendment for details).